IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

CHRISTEE THOMSON STREETT,

Plaintiff,

v. No. 16cv189 MV/KBM

MANNY ORTIZ and JOHN CAMPBELL,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER DENYING MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on *pro se* Plaintiff Christee Thomson Streett's Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs, Doc. 2, filed March 15, 2016 ("Application"). For the reasons stated below, the Court will **DENY** Plaintiff's Application. Plaintiff shall have 21 days from entry of this Order to pay the \$400.00 fee¹ for instituting a new case or show cause why this case should not be dismissed without prejudice for failure to pay the filing fee. Failure to timely pay the filing fee or show cause may result in dismissal of this case without prejudice.

Application to Proceed *in forma pauperis*

The statute for proceedings *in forma pauperis*, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), provides that the Court may authorize the commencement of any suit without prepayment of fees by a person who submits an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets the person possesses and that the person is unable to pay such fees.

When a district court receives an application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, it should examine the papers and determine if the requirements of [28 U.S.C.] § 1915(a) are satisfied. If they are, leave should be granted. Thereafter,

¹ The fee for instituting any civil action, suit or proceeding is comprised of a \$350.00 filing fee, *see* 28 U.S.C. §1914, and a \$50.00 administrative fee.

if the court finds that the allegations of poverty are untrue or that the action is frivolous or malicious, it may dismiss the case[.]

Menefee v. Werholtz, 368 Fed.Appx. 879, 884 (10th Cir. 2010) (citing Ragan v. Cox, 305 F.2d 58, 60 (10th Cir. 1962). While the Court should not deny a person the opportunity to proceed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) simply because he or she is not "absolutely destitute," the Court may deny permission for a person to proceed IFP where his or her monthly income exceeds his or her monthly expenses by an amount greater than the filing fee. See Brewer v. City of Overland Park Police Department, 24 Fed.Appx. 977, 979 (10th Cir. 2002) (litigant whose monthly income exceeded his monthly expenses by a few hundred dollars according to his own accounting appeared to have sufficient income to pay filing fees, and, thus, was not entitled to IFP status).²

The Court will deny Plaintiff's Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs. Plaintiff states that: (i) her monthly income is \$4,653.00; (ii) her monthly expenses are \$1,161.00; (iii) she has \$5,000.00 in her checking account; (iv) the value of her home is \$200,000.00; (v) the value of her other real estate is \$800,000.00; and (vi) there is no "other information that will help explain why [she] cannot pay for the costs of these proceedings." It appears, by her own accounting, that Plaintiff is presently able to pay the \$400.00 fee for instituting a new case because her monthly income exceeds her monthly expenses by \$3,492.00.

Plaintiff shall have 21 days from entry of this Order to pay the \$400.00 fee for instituting a new case or show cause why this case should not be dismissed without prejudice for failure to pay the filing fee. Failure to timely pay the filing fee or show cause may result in dismissal of this

7

² At the time of the ruling in *Brewer*, the filing fee for the appeal was \$100.00. *See* 28 U.S.C.A. § 1913 (2001) Judicial Conference Schedule of Fees. Brewer's monthly income exceeded his monthly expenses by \$242.00. *See* Appellant's Motion for Leave to Proceed on Appeal Without Prepayment of Costs or Fees at 3-7, Brewer v. City of Overland Park Police Department, No. 01-3055 (10th Cir. May 11, 2001).

case without prejudice.

IT IS ORDERED THAT Plaintiff's Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs, Doc. 2, filed March 15, 2016, is **DENIED.** Plaintiff shall have 21 days from entry of this Order to pay the \$400.00 fee case or show cause why this case should not be dismissed without prejudice for failure to pay the filing fee.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE